

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 223 13-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/792,332	03/03/2004	Chih-Hsi Lai	251702-1330	4561	
24504	7590 09/26/2005		EXAMINER		
THOMAS, KAYDEN, HORSTEMEYER & RISLEY, LLP 100 GALLERIA PARKWAY, NW STE 1750 ATLANTA, GA 30339-5948			LEA EDMO	LEA EDMONDS, LISA S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2835		

DATE MAILED: 09/26/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			177			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	— <b>V</b>			
Office Action Commence	10/792,332	LAI, CHIH-HSI				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lisa Lea-Edmonds	2835				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPOWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING IT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tired will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this commur ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03	March 2004					
	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		osecution as to the mer	rits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected.			٠			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on 03 March 2004 is/are:		o by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.	121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	52.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:						
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documer						
2. Certified copies of the priority documer						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price	•	ed in this National Stag	е			
application from the International Burea		- d				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not receive	<del>2</del> 0.	•			
Attachment(s) )  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
<ul> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	) 5)	Patent Application (PTO-152)				
Patent and Trademark Office	0) Oulei					

Application/Control Number: 10/792,332 Page 2

Art Unit: 2835

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Shibasaki (6556439). With respect to claims 1, 4, 5, 6, 9, and 10, Shibasaki teaches an electronic device (1) comprising a display unit (3), a host (4) comprising a module structure having a first substrate (8), at least one process unit (CPU 9), at least one heat dissipating device (26), a second substrate (37) as claimed, see for example figures 1-3. As to the connecting portions, applicant is directed to the enclosed copy of figure 3.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 2, 3, 7 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shibasaki (6556439). With respect to claims 2, 3, 7 and 8, Shibasaki teaches the

Application/Control Number: 10/792,332 Page 3

Art Unit: 2835

invention as set forth by claims 1 and 10, see the above rejection thereof. However, Shibasaki is silent on the material and structure used to produce the first and second substrates. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made for the first and second substrates of Shibasaki to be made from the same material as well as the structure being of a "multi-layer" type as it is notoriously well known in the art to do so, as evident by applicant's silence on the matter.

## Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Please note the teachings of Kitahara et al. (6549404), Ahn (6141214), Yeh (6151214), Lui et al. (6122169), Wagner et al. (6157539), Lin (6252768), Yang (6717815), and Wang (6373700).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lisa Lea-Edmonds whose telephone number is 571-272-2043. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lynn Field can be reached on (571) 272-2800, ext 35. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/792,332 Page 4

Art Unit: 2835

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jisa Jea Comond's Lisa Lea-Edmonds Primary Examiner Art Unit 2835

2005-09-22